Water Transport in PEM Fuel Cells:
Advanced Modeling, Material Selection, Testing, and Design Optimization

J. Vernon Cole and Ashok Gidwani
CFDRC

Prepared for:
DOE Hydrogen Program Annual Review Meeting
May 21, 2009

Project ID: FC_36_Cole

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Overview

- **Timeline**
  - Start Date: 6/1/07
  - End Date: 5/31/11
  - Percent Complete: 44%
- **Budget:**
  - Total Project Funding:
    - DOE $4,900K
    - Contractors $1,500K
  - Funding Received in FY08
    - $1,175K
  - Funding for FY09
    - $780K
- **Barriers:**
  - D. Water Transport within Stack
  - E. System Thermal and Water Management
  - G. Start-up and Shut-down Time and Energy / Transient Operation
- **Transportation Stack Targets (2005 status / 2010):**
  - Stack power density, W/L: (1500 / 2000)
  - Cold start-up time to 50% rated power @ -20°C, secs: (<10 / 5)
- **Partners:**
  - Ballard Power Systems
  - BCS Fuel Cells
  - ESI Group, NA
  - Techverse
  - U. Victoria
  - SGL Carbon

Program Objectives => Relevance

- **Overall:**
  - **Improve understanding of the effect of various cell component properties and structure on the gas and water transport in a PEM fuel cell;**
  - **Demonstrate improvements in water management in cells and short stacks; and**
  - **Encapsulate the developed understanding in models and simulation tools for application to future systems.**

- **FY 2008 and 2009:**
  - **Complete baseline characterization for Gas Diffusion Layer (GDL) materials**
    - **Key fundamental properties affecting water transport**
  - **Gather experimental data under controlled conditions, test and apply models for water transport in GDLs, channels, and across interfaces**
    - **Improved understanding of water transport, initial screening of improvement concepts to remove water and/or control its distribution**
  - **Evaluate performance and water management sensitivity in operational cells, evaluate cell-scale water transport models on component level, integrate with electrochemistry and test**
    - **Data and tools for screening of concepts to improve water management while increasing power densities, mitigate liquid-water induced pressure drops and transients for system-level benefits**

Approach

Experimental Characterization ⟷ **Multiphysics Modeling**

Improved Water Management Through Improved Component Designs and Operating Strategies

Advanced Model Development (CFD/LBM)





- LBM models for microscale flow thorough porous media: in-plane and through-plane permeabilities, capillary pressure, and wetting characteristics
- CFD models for macroscopic two-phase flow in channels, GDLs and interfaces, coupled with electrochemical reaction and transport through membrane

Simulations of gas, water and thermal transport in a unit cell complement experiments to develop understanding, evaluate concepts

Experimental Characterization





- Ex-situ characterization: key materials properties and sensitivity to treatments, water transport analysis in GDLs and micro-channels
- In-situ diagnostics: current and water distribution

Provides fundamental understanding, validation data for physics-based models from component to cell level

Improved Component and Fuel Cell Concepts

Thickness	Density: high	Density: low	
200 µm/8 mil	GDL 24	GDL 25	2 D - paper
300 µm/12 mil	GDL 34	GDL 35	
400 µm/16 mil		GDL 10	3 D - nonwoven





- Cell flooding sensitivity to materials and operating strategies
- Implement and test performance improvement strategies

Improved component designs and operating strategies, tools for addressing water transport in future generation designs

FY08-09 Plans and Milestones

Month/Year	Milestone	Comments	% Complete
May 08	Ex-situ GDL materials characterization	Two-phase transport data limited, needs further analysis	100 %
Dec 08	GDL-Channel water transport experimental characterization	Initial data on model systems, GDL data delayed for increased channel studies	50%
May 09	LBM microscale model for two-phase flow	Development complete, testing and application underway	100%
Jun 09	Cell-scale water transport model implemented, component models validated	Ongoing, initial numerical issues resolved and experiments underway for channels. GDL-channel interface experiments and model treatment lagging	75%

GDL Materials Characterization













- **Key property database established for SGL, BMP & Toray papers with a range of PTFE loadings (Ballard, Techverse):**
  - **Porosity and Pore Size Distribution (MIP, MSP)**
  - **In- and Through-Plane Gas Permeability, Effective Diffusivity**
  - **Electrical and Thermal Conductivity**
  - **Thickness & Electrical Resistance Variation with Compression**

PTFE Effects on Gas Transport

Ballard Laser Scans

PTFE 0% | PTFE 20% | PTFE 40%

Laser scan images of Toray-050, Ballard Power Systems

- PTFE distribution model developed from measured pore size distributions, laser scan images, and processing observations:
  - Teflon® solution is hydrophilic to carbon fibers.
  - Small pores and corner of larger openings are filled first.
  - Increase in fiber diameter due to Teflon® coating is negligible.

Numerically Generated Microstructures for LBM



GDL microstructure reconstruction with Teflon® loading.



- Validates LBM for gas phase transport in GDL materials
- Verifies simple, stochastic microstructure generation approach is adequate for analysis of transport, PTFE loading effects in carbon paper GDLs
- IPP approximately linear in PTFE loading and porosity to 30% loading

Capillary Pressure and Residual Saturation

- **Positive Water Displacement technique, 90 mm diameter media for greater resolution**







SGL 35 EC Media without (←) and with (→) porous Teflon® backing filter



- **Techverse quantified breakthrough and residual saturation by volumetric and gravimetric analysis;**
  - **PTFE loading reduces saturation at breakthrough, not residual**
- **Addition of PTFE backing brings PC measurements closer to other reported ranges**
- **Greater hysteresis effect with increasing Teflon® content of media, weaker effect in Toray with no MPL**

Capillary Pressure Simulation



Toray-050



(a) α = 0.3, dispersed globules



(b) α = 0.4, interconnected streams



(c) α = 0.7, droplet emergence





Hydrophobic porous plug to prevent liquid escape from GDL.

α = 0.7

- Similar model definition, with water reservoir and hydrophobic 'frit', used to verify capillary pressure implementation in CFD-based two-fluid code
- LBM Results guided implementation details in CFD continuum model

Gas and Water Permeability in Wet GDLs

Gas Permeability in Wet Media



Water Permeability



- **Analysis ongoing to quantify saturation level and extract relative permeability**
- **No significant difference between initially humidified and dry gas**
- **PTFE loading did not significantly alter the behavior for SGL materials with MPL**

- **Increasing PTFE content reduced water permeability**
- **Three distinct flow regimes.**
  - **1: No flow. (below breakthrough pressure)**
  - **2: Constant permeability. (moderate pressure)**
  - **3: Increase in permeability with increased pressure, indicating opening of more channels for water flow**

Transient GDL Water Transport Characterization and Modeling



UVic is *Simultaneously* monitoring the development of the capillary flow, pressure, and volume injection rate of water percolating through the GDL porous layer

Image analysis quantifying cluster and droplet growth dynamics near/on surface



LBM Modelling of GDL Transport



Test GDL: porosity 0.75, thickness 100μm.



Dry sample: first breakthrough

Previously wet sample: continuous loading test



Invasion depends on history

- **Qualitative agreement, insight into under-surface transport**
- **Experiments and LBM guiding CFD model improvements**

Droplet Emergence Analysis

Time evolution of droplet emergence images

PDMS Chip and droplet dynamics studies at UVic for channel/GDL interface model





- **Image analysis for temporal correlation and FFT to quantify droplet emergence frequency**



Dynamic Contact Angle Analysis



Experimental conditions:
Inlet pressure, P_0: 16.34 psia
Squared water pore size, d_w: 50 um
Squared gas microchannel , d_A: 250 um
Water injection speed, V_w (cm/sec): 4 cm/s
Air velocity, V_A: 10 m/s
Re_A: 164, Bo: 3.36E-4, Ca: 5.50E-4

Initial Model Tests: Film/mist formation from drag overcomes surface tension



Ballard Two Phase Flow Channel DP Measurement

- Interchangeable single channel graphite plates
- Nineteen pressure taps spaced 1.5cm apart (27cm total measurable length)
- Two phase pressure drop measured between taps 1 and 19 and water injected from tap 2





Two Phase Channel Model Validation Test Matrix

Current Density [A/cm^2]	Water Flow Rate [μL/min]	Temperature [C]	Outlet Pressure [bara]	Air Flow Rates [sccm]
0.3	**11**	**20**	**1.013**	**61, 204, 306, 407**
1	**37**	**20**	**1.013**	**61, 204, 306, 407**
1.5	**56**	**20**	**1.013**	**61, 204, 306, 407**
2	**75**	**20**	**1.013**	**61, 204, 306, 407**

Keyence microscope assessment of micro-channel machining accuracy (within 10 μm)



Profile1	Horz. dist.	Hght. diff.	Hght. ave.	Angle	C.S. length	Wear area
All	1408.59um	46.53um	248.23um	1.89°	3282.56um	166317.75um2
Seg.1	495.29um	201.45um	127.66um	22.13°	1152.72um	92674.15um2
Seg.2						
Total	495.29um	201.45um	127.66um	22.13°	1152.72um	92674.15um2

Experimental error estimation



407 sccm, 37 mL/min





CFD Pressure Drop Validation with Water Flow Rate





- **Steady two-phase CFD captured trends with water flow rate**
- **Experimental repeatability worse at low water and low air**





CFD Testing with Total Flow, Design









- **CFD underpredicts measured pressure drop variation with total flow:**
  - **Better interfacial drag models needed for PEMFC relevant flows and channel sizes, means to capture wall film effects with practical grid resolution**
  - **Further investigation in liquid water injection variability being carried out**

Stratified, Wavy Flow Verification



Baseline,

61 sccm 0.011 ml/min

Lower gas velocities transfer less momentum to the water, film falls to bottom due to gravity





- ESI achieved qualitative agreement with experiment for slug behavior in a stratified two-phase channel flow (0.69 m/sec water, 2.2 m/sec air, 50x250 mm)
- Requires transient to capture dynamics, investigating approaches to reduce computational expense

Cell Level Water Transport Characterization

Overall Approach

- **Design Sensitivities**
  - Number of Channels (coupled channel width and depth)
  - Landing Width
  - Plate Water Contact Angle
  - Rounded vs. Angled Corners
  - Channel Pattern (i.e. straight vs. serpentine)
  - Serpentine Type (e.g. classic, parallel, separated)
- **Water Removal Concepts**
  - Gravity
  - Capillary/Surface Tension
  - Drag/Shear
  - Pressure/Momentum
- **Operating Conditions**
  - Current Density
  - Stoic
  - RH
  - Temperature
  - Pressure
  - Fluid Composition (air, hydrogen, reformate)

Model Validation

- **Measurables**
  - **Single Cell** Pressure Drop Frequency -> *Ideal is Infinity*
  - **Single Cell** Pressure Drop Amplitude -> *Ideal is Zero*
  - Stack and Single Cell Ratio of Operational to Non-Operation Pressure Drops -> *Ideal is 1.0*
  - Stack Level Confirmation

Validated Model

- **Results**
  - Robust fuel cell stack capable of managing liquid water at lower pressure drops.
  - Improved system efficiencies.
  - Reduced system costs.

Water Removal and Pressure Signature





- **Ideal Frequency is Infinity**
- **Ideal Amplitude is 0 - no change of pressure drop over time due to liquid water**

- **Operating cell pressure drop signatures for plate 1 (left) and plate 4 (right) (identical scales, different color curves represent different runs)**
  - **The dry pressure drops for these two plates are nearly identical**
  - **Plate 1 is very unstable while plate 4 runs well due to its better water management characteristics, less variation of pressure signature**

Plate	Pressure Drop Amplitude Relative to Plate 1	Pressure Drop Frequency (Hz)
1	1.0	~6e-4
4	0.27	~15e-4

- **Pressure signature and water removal for different cell designs are inter-linked, signature provides a quantification of water management characteristics**
- **Cell level CFD modeling with validated interface drag models at channel level, validated two phase models for GDL flow and validated channel/GDL interface model is the next step**

Single Cell Performance Characterization

BCS Fuel Cells, Inc.

Air stoic.: 1.75, Air pressure: 8 psig, H_2 pressure: 3 psig, Anode 0.2 mg/cm^2 Pt, Cathode: 0.5 mg/cm^2 Pt





Effects of PTFE content in micro-porous layer in GDL and temperature on cell performance

- **BCS Fuel Cells is evaluating the effect of hydrophilic/ hydrophobic characteristics of MPL on the catalyst side in MEAs for self-humidified fuel cells that can be operated at higher temperatures.**
- **Demonstrated performance sensitivity: MEA developed with 20% Teflon® in the MPL layer of carbon cloth type GDL is better than both the commercial and 5% Teflon® content MEAs operated at 60 °C and 1.75 air stoichiometry.**
- **GDL modifications allow effective operation at higher temperatures, and consequently increased power density, without external humidification**

GDL Water Permeation into Channel



- Techverse will characterize water permeation through GDLs into a BCS serpentine channel design bipolar plate
- Experimental data for water leaving channels, pressure signals, and water distribution will provide additional sensitivity information and model validation
- Preliminary modeling results for a single-bend section of the plate:
  - Highest channel water content, lowest water flux into channels is near outlet due to higher channel pressure as expected
  - 'Tumbling' flow in the serpentine corners
  - Transient simulation required for numerical stability

Future Work and Milestones

FY09-10 Plans:

- **Characterization and Diagnostics**
  - Complete GDL-channel transport experiments
- **Model Development/Testing**
  - Complete integration of heat transfer and electrochemistry with two-phase CFD models
  - Cell-scale model evaluation against steady and transient data
- **Water Management Improvement**
  - Concept development and screening:
    - Component interaction and flooding sensitivity studies for performance improvement
    - Channel design, surface finish, and GDL design for effective removal with low pressure drop

Upcoming Milestones:

- Cell Scale model test/validation against operational cell data completed Dec 2009
- Improvement concept screening complete March 2010, optimization underway

Collaborations

- **Partners**
  - Ballard Power Systems: Measurement tools, material data, and operational test results to validate and support the development of models for water transport and management
  - BCS Fuel Cells: Operational cell and stack diagnostics, materials sensitivity and serpentine channel design
  - ESI Group, NA: Model implementation and software integration, model testing
  - Techverse: Materials characterization, ex-situ water transport
  - SGL Carbon: GDL and bipolar plate materials
  - U. Victoria: GDL permeation, channel droplet injection and transport quantification

- **Technology Transfer**
  - Univ. of South Carolina NSF I/UCRC Center for Fuel Cells: Presented overview of this work, beneficial follow-on discussions on model formulation, material characterization

Summary

- **Relevance:**
  - Effective water management is necessary to improve automotive fuel cell performance, freeze/thaw cycle tolerance, and cold startup times
- **Approach:**
  - Integrated characterization and model development to advance understanding, application of the resulting knowledge to optimization
- **Technical Accomplishments and Progress:**
  - Completed characterization of key physical and transport properties for SGL, BMP and Toray GDL materials
  - Validated multiphase LBM applied to analyze impact of microstructure and Teflonation on permeability, wetting characteristics and breakthrough, and capillary pressure behavior; Also guiding model developments for continuum CFD
  - Implemented experimental setup for collecting wet pressure drop and transient pressure signatures in two-phase flows in channels and cells; Data being used for CFD model development and validation
  - Started gathering experimental data for droplet emergence at GDL-channel interface; currently being used in model development
  - Demonstrated sensitivity of cell operation to water management through materials and design modifications
  - Began integration of electrochemistry, heat transfer, and phase change with the CFD two-phase flow models
- **Proposed Future Work:**
  - Complete GDL-channel transport experiments and channel/cell pressure signature measurements for different channel types and surface finish
  - Complete integration of electrochemistry, heat transfer and phase change models with two-phase CFD models; Test and validate the developed integrated models using operational cell-scale steady and transient data
  - Apply validated measurements and simulation tools to identifying optimization strategies: Channel design, surface finish, and GDL design for effective removal with low pressure drop